

Exhibit 24

EDITION: UNITED STATES

Business Markets World Politics Tech Commentary Breakingviews Wealth Life

FROM

Live: U.S. Politics

**EXCLUSIVE U.S. demands nations provide more traveler data or face sanctions**

The U.S. State Department will require all nations to provide extensive data to help it vet visa applicants and determine whether a traveler poses a terrorist threat, according to a cable obtained by Reuters.

Countries that fail to comply with the new protocols or take steps to do so within 50 days could face travel sanctions.

[Read the cable here](#)

MRN:

17 STATE 72000

Date/DTG:

Jul 12, 2017 / 121619Z JUL 17

From: SECSTATE WASHDC

Action:

SOMALIA, USMISSION ROUTINE ;

ALL

D PLOMATIC AND CONSULAR POSTS COLLECTIVE ROUTINE

E.O.:

13526

TAGS:

CVIS, PGOV, PINS, PTER, PREL, CMGT, KCSY, KHLS

Captions:

SENSITIVE

Reference:

A) COUNTRY LISTS AND ADDITIONAL POINTS TRANSMITTED TO CERTAIN POSTS

BY

REGIONAL BUREAUS

B)

E-MA L DATA CALL FOR E.O. 13769 TO ALL POSTS DATED FEBRUARY 3, 2017

Pass

Line: CA, POL, ECON, CT WORKING GROUP, AND LAW ENFORCEMENT WORKING GROUP

Subject:

Demarche Request: E.O 13780 - Improving Information Sharing with Foreign Governments

1.

(U) This is an action request. Please see paragraphs 7, 9, 10, 11, and 12.

2.

(SBU) SUMMARY: In response to Executive Order (EO) 13780, the Secretary of Homeland Security, in consultation with the Secretary of State and the Director of National Intelligence, has produced a report outlining what information is needed from every country in order to sufficiently vet the nationals of that country in order to obtain a visa, admission at a port of entry, or other immigration benefit. The report, which prioritizes country engagement based on certain risk factors, establishes standards related to (1) identity management and (2) information sharing on security and public safety threats. The report includes a classified list of countries preliminarily assessed as not meeting the standards set out in the report and a list of those at risk of not meeting these standards. The classified country lists and country-specific talking points have been sent septel to impacted posts (ref A).

3.

(SBU) SUMMARY, CONT: This cable initiates a 50-day engagement period, as called for in Section 2(d) of the EO, in which posts must inform host governments of the new information sharing standards and request that host governments provide the requested information or develop a plan to do so.

Additionally, posts should askhost

governments to respond to a series of questions to ensure the accuracy of the report's assessment. Posts

should

engage more intensively with countries the report preliminarily deems inadequate in their information

sharing

or at risk of being deemed inadequate. Where appropriate, discussions should also include the need to

repatriate

nationals who are subject to a final order of removal from the United States. The Department also

requests

posts' assessment of mitigating factors or specific interests that should be

WORLD NEWS



Pence warns Central Americans to immigrate legally or not at all

BRASILIA U.S. Vice

President Mike Pence said during the first stop of a La in American tour on Tuesday that if Central Americans thinking of immigrating to the United States "can't come legally, don't come at all." | [Video](#)

Scourge of Mexico establishment poised to capture presidency

Iran says it can handle fresh sanctions amid national strike

In Europe's east, a border town strains under China's Silk Road train boom

» More News

considered in the deliberations

regarding

any travel sanctions. Posts should note that the list of countries deemed inadequate or at-risk is

classified

and should not be discussed outside of official channels. Talking points will be available on CAWeb.

END

SUMMARY.

CLASSIFICATION:

UNCLASSIFIED

Page

1 of 7

(U)

Background

4. (SBU)

On March 6, the President issued EO 13780 (Protecting the Nation from Foreign Terrorist Entry into

the

United States). Soon thereafter, Section 2 of the EO was enjoined by the courts. Recently, the injunction on

the

provisions of Section 2 requiring a review of immigration-related information sharing by foreign

governments

was lifted. This has allowed work to resume on Sections 2(a) and (b) of the EO, which direct the

Secretary

of Homeland Security, in consultation with the Secretary of State and Director of National

Intelligence,

to "conduct a worldwide review to identify whether, and if so what, additional information will be

needed

from each foreign country to adjudicate an application by a national of that country for a visa, admission,

or

other benefit under the INA (adjudication) in order to determine that the individual is not a security or public safety

threat."

The Secretary of Homeland Security, in consultation with the Secretary of State and Director of

National

Intelligence, is directed to submit a report to the President identifying "the information needed from

each

country for adjudications and a list of countries that do not provide adequate information." This cable

outlines

the results of the report submitted to the President on July 10 and provides posts with guidance to help

foreign

governments understand and meet the standards developed in the report, as called for in Section 2(d) of

the

EO. For more details on the executive order, please consult the complete text at the White House website.

(U)

New Standards

5.

(SBU) The report outlines the new standards that all 191 countries are required to meet for (1) information

sharing

on identity management and (2) information sharing on security and public safety threats. The standards

reflect

a mix of long-standing U.S. government goals and standards established by international bodies such as

the

United Nations (UN), the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO), and INTERPOL. The report

concluded

that three types of information sharing/cooperation are needed to establish a traveler's identity, and

four

types of information sharing/cooperation are needed to ensure that a traveler is not a terrorist or public safety

threat

(see para 9). The report also discusses "national security risk indicators" that helped prioritize countries

for

engagement. Finally, as required under the EO, the report provides a classified list of countries that DHS has

preliminarily

assessed as not providing adequate information, as well as countries at risk of not providing

adequate information.

(U)

Non-Compliance Could Lead to Sanction

6.

(SBU) Section 2(d) of the Executive Order directs the Secretary of State to

request that all foreign governments assessed as not supplying adequate information begin to do so within 50 days of notification or develop a credible plan for increased information sharing. Section 2(e) of the Executive Order instructs the Secretary of Homeland Security, in consultation with the Secretary of State and the Attorney General, following the end of the 50-day period, to submit to the President a list of countries recommended for inclusion in a presidential proclamation that would prohibit the entry of designated categories of foreign nationals of those countries that have not provided the information requested or produced an adequate plan to do so. While the Executive Order provides for flexibility in assessing countries' responsiveness, the intent of the order is to press countries to improve their performance. The information that posts collect during this demarche process will feed into deliberations regarding which states, if any, the Secretary of Homeland Security will recommend for inclusion in a presidential proclamation.

(U)

Demarche Objectives

7. (SBU)

Engagement with foreign governments should draw on the resources and expertise of the entire country

team.

Posts are instructed to demarche both the Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Ministry of Interior (or

equivalents)

at the highest appropriate level with the points provided below, as well as other ministries as

appropriate.

Posts should request a response from host government counterparts in time to submit a frontchannel

cable

to the Department by July 21. Posts should also use this demarche to update and/or clarify any

information

provided during the February 3, 2017, data call (ref B). Responses should be slugged for CA/VO,

CT/TSI,

and L/CA and e-mailed to Matthew Paschke, William McCulla, Abbas Ravjani, and Joel Nantais, in

CLASSIFICATION:

UNCLASSIFIED

Page

2 of 7

addition

to the country desk representative.

8.

(SBU) The objectives of this demarche are as follows:

Announce the new standards to all foreign governments and call for compliance with Executive Order

13780.

Clarify that the standards require information sharing in support of vetting visa, border, and immigration

applications.

Information sharing in support of other objectives that may not be used for adjudicating

immigration-related

applications will not count toward compliance.

Inform those governments assessed as not supplying adequate information, or at risk of being so

assessed,

that we will work with them as they determine how they can meet the new baseline standards.

Underscore that while it is not our goal to impose a ban on immigration benefits, including visas, for

citizens

of any country, these standards are designed to mitigate risk and failure to make progress could

lead

to security measures by the USG, including a presidential proclamation that would prohibit the entry

of

certain categories of foreign nationals of non-compliant countries.

9.

(U) Posts may leave these talking points behind, if desired. If-asked press guidance will be posted on CAWeb.

(U)

BEGIN TALK NG PO NTS

[The points below are to be delivered to ALL countries]

In accordance with Executive Order 13780, the Department of Homeland Security, in consultation with the Department of State and the Director of National Intelligence, has established a new set of standards regarding the information all foreign governments should share with the U.S. Government in order to

allow the U.S. Government to verify the identity of all foreign travelers and immigration applicants and ascertain that they do not pose a threat to U.S. security or public safety. This is the first time that the U.S.

Government is setting standards for the information that is required from all countries specifically in support of immigration and traveler vetting. We are asking all countries to look at areas to better enhance our collective security.

The Secretary of Homeland Security, in consultation with the Secretary of State and the Director of National Intelligence, has established the following standards for identity-related information-sharing

by foreign governments whose nationals seek to travel to the United States:

Countries should issue, or have active plans to issue, electronic passports that conform to ICAO specifications and include a facial biometric image to enable verification of travel documents;

Countries should regularly report lost and stolen passports, whether issued or blank, to the INTERPOL Stolen and Lost Travel Document Database to maintain the integrity of travel documents; and

Countries should make available any other identity information at the request of the U.S. including, as appropriate, additional biographic and biometric data and relevant immigration status.

The Secretary of Homeland Security, in consultation with the Secretary of State and the Director of National Intelligence, has also established the following standards for measuring information-sharing related to terrorism or public safety threats by foreign governments whose nationals seek to travel to the United States:

Countries should make available information, including biographic and biometric data, on individuals it knows or has reasonable grounds to believe are terrorists, including foreign terrorist

fighters, through appropriate bilateral or multilateral channels;

Countries should make available through appropriate bilateral or multilateral channels criminal history record information, including biographic and biometric data, on its nationals, as well as

permanent and temporary residents, who are seeking U.S. visas or border or immigration benefits;

Countries should provide exemplars of all passports and national identity documents they issue to

CLASSIFICATION:
UNCLASSIFIED

Page
3 of 7

the U.S. Department of Homeland Security's Immigration and Customs Enforcement Forensic

Laboratory, including applicable date ranges and numbering sequences, as required, in order to

improve U.S. Government fraud detection capabilities;

Countries should not impede the transfer to the U.S. Government of information about passengers

and
crew traveling to the United States, such as Advance Passenger Information and
Passenger

Name
Records; and

Countries should not designate individuals for
international watchlisting as national security
threats
or criminals solely based on their political or religious beliefs.

The Secretary of Homeland Security, in consultation with
the Secretary of State and the Director of

National
Intelligence, also identified three security risk indicators relevant to the
USG's ability to vet a
country's
nationals for admissibility to the United States:

Countries should take measures to ensure that they are not
and do not have the potential to

become
a terrorist safe haven;

Countries should accept the repatriation of their nationals
who are subject to a final order of

removal
in the United States and provide travel documents to facilitate their removal;

Visa Waiver Program countries should meet the statutory and
policy requirements of the Visa

Waiver
Program.

After 50 days, the Secretary of Homeland Security, in
consultation with the Secretary of State and the

Attorney
General, must submit to the President a list of countries recommended for
inclusion on a

presidential
proclamation prohibiting the entry of designated categories of their nationals
because those

countries
do not meet the new standards or have an inadequate plans to do so.

These standards build upon our existing partnerships with
other countries and multilateral organizations

such
as ICAO and NTERPOL to increase the reliability of travel documents and the
sharing of

information
about known or suspected terrorists and known criminals. They also reinforce UN
Security

Council
Resolutions 1373, 1624, 2178, and 2322, which call on all member states to
cooperate in sharing

information
on the movements of terrorists and require all states to prevent the movement
of terrorists or

terrorists
groups through effective border controls and controls on the issuance of
identity papers and

travel
documents.

[Deliver
the following point to non-Visa Waiver Program countries]

We will work with you to assess whether your country
currently meets these standards and, if it does not,

design
a plan to allow it to do so.

In order allow us to evaluate fully and accurately whether
your country meets these standards, we are

requesting
your help in answering a series of questions. t is critical that you provide
us this information

by
[a date determined by Post to submit cable by July 21]. Failure to provide this
information in a timely

manner
will require us to assume your country does not meet the standards.

[Deliver
the following points to Visa Waiver Program countries]

We recognize that many of these new worldwide standards
overlap with those already required by the

Visa
Waiver Program (VWP), and wish to highlight that they apply to all forms of
travel and immigration

benefits
sought by foreign nationals, and not only to visas for travel.

The United States seeks to continue and deepen the security
partnerships fostered by the VWP, including

by
ensuring that our cooperation supports immigration and traveler vetting,
enhances global security, and

impedes
the travel of terrorists and criminals. The Department of Homeland Security
would be pleased to

discuss

further how our existing cooperation can better achieve this goal.

The Department of Homeland Security will continue to engage VWP countries on the requirements set

forth

in the "Visa Waiver Program Improvement and Terrorist Travel Prevention Act of 2015."

[Deliver

the following point only to countries identified septel A as failing to meet the information

standards

set out in the report (Category A countries)]

CLASSIFICATION:

UNCLASSIFIED

Page

4 of 7

Based on preliminary data, DHS has concerns your country is not fully meeting the new informationsharing

standards.

We urge you to work with us to provide detailed answers to our questions as soon as

possible

to clarify your practices and policies. While we recognize these standards may be exacting, they

are

necessary to ensure effective U.S. border and immigration controls. We are committed to working

with

you to achieve them and to taking into account your government's commitment and effort toward

these

shared goals.

[Deliver

the following point only to countries identified septel A as at risk of failing to meet the

standards

set out in the report (Category B countries)]

Based on preliminary data, DHS believes your country may be at risk of not fully meeting the new

information-sharing

standards. While your country is appropriately sharing information in certain areas,

there

are other areas the United States seeks additional cooperation. We urge you to work with us to

provide

detailed answers to our questions as soon as possible to clarify your practices and policies. While

we

recognize these standards may be exacting, they are necessary to ensure effective U.S. border and

immigration

controls. We are committed to working with you to achieve them and to taking into account

your

government's commitment and effort toward these shared goals.

[If

raised by a non-VWP country that is in neither Category A nor B]

Our initial review indicated that your country appears to meet the new information-sharing standards.

However,

we would appreciate your assistance in responding to a series of questions that will help us

definitively

ascertain that you meet or exceed these new standards.

(U)

END TALK NG PO NTS

(U)

Leave-Behind Questions for non-VWP Foreign Governments

10.

(SBU) In order to enhance an assessment of whether foreign governments meet the new baseline standards,

posts

are requested to work with their host country to submit answers to the following questions. While the

burden

of fully answering these questions is intended to be on the host country, we urge posts to devote whatever

country

team resources are needed to ensure the Department receives a timely and accurate response. Please

ensure

that responses accurately reflect the full extent of the host government's compliance with these standards.

Posts

may leave these questions with the host government after delivering the demarche. Please note the "Leave-

Behind"

questions are NOT/NOT to be provided to VWP countries, as DHS regularly assesses their information

sharing,
border screening capacity, and document security as part of their participation
in the VWP.

11.

(U) Please submit all responses as an attachment to the front-channel cable
detailing the host government

response

to the demarche by July 21, using the attached spreadsheet template, on either
the unclassified or

classified

system, as appropriate. Embassies that are responsible for maintaining
relations with more than one

country

should complete a response for each country.

(U)

BEGIN QUESTIONNAIRE

A.

Identity Information Sharing:

Are all passports issued by your government
machine-readable? If not, please describe which classes of

passport

are not machine-readable.

Does your government issue electronic passports that
conform to ICAO specifications and include a

facial

biometric image? (Such passports contain an electronic chip in the passport
cover that contains

data

related to the passport and its holder.) If yes, does your government issue
such passports as a rule or

only

to a subset of travelers? If not, does your government have concrete plans to
introduce an electronic

Page

5 of 7

CLASSIFICATION:

UNCLASSIFIED

passport?

Does your government participate in the ICAO electronic
passport Public Key Directory? If your

country

issues electronic passports but does not participate, please explain why and
describe whether you

have

plans to do so in the near future.

Does your government report lost or stolen passports, both
issued and blank, to the INTERPOL Stolen

and

Lost Travel Document Database? If so, please describe how frequently you report
data. Does your

government

invalidate documents and the associated document numbers after they are
reported lost or

stolen

or do they remain in circulation? Please describe any barriers to your
government's active

participation

in this multilateral mechanism.

B.

Terrorism and public safety threat information sharing:

Does your government regularly provide the U.S. government biographic and/or biometric data
on known or suspected terrorists (regardless of citizenship), including foreign fighters? If so, through
which channels? Does your government place any restrictions on the use of this information?

Does your government contribute identities of foreign terrorist fighters and their facilitators who
have departed from, arrived in, or transited your territory to the Interpol FTF Fusion Cell?

Does your government make records of arrests and convictions in your country available to the
United States to support border, immigration, and visa vetting? If so, via which process? Does your
government place restrictions on the use of such information, such as limiting its use to
law enforcement investigations?

Does your government issue NTERPOL notifications for all wanted terrorists and felons? If so,
please describe how frequently and extensively you report data. Does your government restrict the
sharing of such notifications with the United States or any other country? If so, please describe.

Does your government prevent air carriers or vessel operators from releasing information about
travelers to the U.S. government?

(U)

Questions for Posts

12. (SBU) In order to fully assess foreign governments' performance, posts in countries that are
not/not members of the VWP should provide responses to the following questions, soliciting
full country team input and views.

Posts should not/not share these questions with foreign governments in order to obtain their input:

Provide post's assessments of the host government's willingness or capability to meet these
new standards, including potential implications for reciprocity or collateral damage to bilateral
relations.

Assess the integrity of the process by which the host government establishes the identity of
passport holders and issues travel documents. Please describe any barriers to improving
any deficiencies in the process and actions that post takes to mitigate those deficiencies when
relying on host country passports.

Does the host government respond to post's requests to confirm the identity of its nationals? If not,
what are the barriers to such collaboration? If so, please provide examples.

Is the host government responsive to requests from the U.S. government for criminal information on
its citizens and residents? If not, please describe the barriers to such information sharing. If so,

please provide examples.

Does the host government designate individuals for watchlisting as national security threats or criminals because they exercise their political, religious, or other fundamental human rights? If so, how frequently?

What steps has your host government taken to deny terrorists space in which to operate? Are there ungoverned, under-governed, or ill-governed physical areas in the host country or bureaucratic practices that allow mala fide travelers to use host country passports to travel to the United States? What steps has your host government taken to work with the USG? And what further steps could we could ask the host government to take?

Assess whether the host government will require capacity building assistance to meet these new standards and explain whether any such programs are planned or underway.

Page
6 of 7

CLASSIFICATION:
UNCLASSIFIED

13.
(U) The Department appreciates posts' efforts to provide the requested information on such short deadlines.

MINIMIZE
CONSIDERED

Signature:
Tillerson

by *elizabeth.cullford* edited by *Canice Leung* 7/13/2017 6:39:43 PM July 13, 2017 at 2:39 PM
[View the full stream](#)
Powered by [ScribbleLive Content Marketing Software Platform](#)

Related Topics: [WORLD](#) [GLOBAL MARKETS](#) [TECHNOLOGY](#) [POLITICS](#) [ENTERTAINMENT](#)

Follow Reuters

Subscribe: [Feeds](#) | [Newsletters](#) | [Podcasts](#) | [Apps](#)

[Reuters News Agency](#) | [Brand Attribution Guidelines](#) | [Advertise with Us](#) | [Careers](#)

Reuters, the news and media division of [Thomson Reuters](#) is the world's largest international multimedia news provider reaching more than one billion people every day. Reuters provides trusted business, financial, national, and international news to professionals via Thomson Reuters desktops, the world's media organizations, and directly to consumers at Reuters.com and via Reuters TV. Learn more about Thomson Reuters products:

EIKON Information, analytics and exclusive news on financial markets - delivered in an intuitive desktop and mobile interface	ELEKTRON Everything you need to empower your workflow and enhance your enterprise data management	WORLD-CHECK Screen for heightened risk individuals and entities globally to help uncover hidden risks in business relationships and human networks	WESTLAW Build the strongest argument relying on authoritative content, attorney-editor expertise, and industry defining technology	ONESOURCE The most comprehensive solution to manage all your complex and ever-expanding tax and compliance needs	CHECKPOINT The industry leader for online information for tax, accounting and finance professionals
---	---	--	--	--	---

All quotes delayed a minimum of 15 minutes. [See here for a complete list](#) of exchanges and delays.

[© 2018 Reuters. All Rights Reserved.](#) | [Site Feedback](#) | [Corrections](#) | [Advertising Guidelines](#) | [Cookies](#) | [Terms of Use](#) | [Privacy Policy](#)